

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| 10/764,229 | 01/23/2004 | Daniel Dube | MC073YCA 9131 | | MC073YCA 9131 | |
| 210 75 | 210 7590 10/27/2006 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| MERCK AND CO., INC | | | BALLS, ROBERT J | | | |
| P O BOX 2000 RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1625 | - | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/27/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/764,22 | 9 | DUBE ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | R. James I | Balls | 1625 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication | on appears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| Period fo | • • | | O EVOIDE O MONTHY | 0) OF THE TO (00) FAVO | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII rations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ion. period will apply and will y statute, cause the apply | IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be time texpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the text of | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 02 October 2006 | <u>5</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL . 2b) | This action is n | on-final. | | | | | |
| 3) 🗌 | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice un | nder <i>Ex parte Qu</i> | ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | • | | | | |
| 4) | Claim(s) <u>3-4, 6-10, 29-31</u> is/are pending | in the application | | | | | | |
| - | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) 🗌 | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| = | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) 🗌 | Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election re | equirement. | • | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[| accepted or b) | \square objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | | • | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. No | te the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| • | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| | application from the International E | Bureau (PCT Rule | e 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | A4-1 | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) X Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 | 48) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate. <u>10/18/2006</u> . | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | | | | |
| . чрс | | | · - | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/764,229 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants filed an after final response dated October 2, 2006. In view of the amendment and a new art, the finality of the August 30, 2006 Office action is hereby withdrawn. Applicants' after final amendment and remarks are considered a response to the now non-final Office action of August 30, 2006 and entered accordingly.

- 2. Claims 3-4, 6-10, 19 and 29-31 are pending.
- This application is a continuation of PCT/CA03/01800 filed on November 19, 2003 which claims benefit of Provisional Application No. 60/428,611 filed on November 22, 2002.
- 5. Applicants filed a preliminary amendment in copending case 10/534,582 on October 24, 2006 to delete subject matter claimed in the instant case. This obviates a potential double patenting rejection under 35 USC §101 but does not overcome a non-statutory-type double patenting rejection.

Double Patenting

6. Claims 3-4, 6-10, 19 and 29-31 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 20 of copending Application No. 10/534,582. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

The instant claims are drawn to compounds wherein Y is $-C_{3-4}$ cycloalkyl(C_{1-4} alkyl)_m-COOH. Claim 30 provides one exemplified non-stereospecific compound of this genus. The copending application's Claim 20 has the same compound provided in its'

Application/Control Number: 10/764,229 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

stereospecific *cis* and *trans* form. See 12th and 18th species of Claim 21. Thus, the only difference between the two claims is that one application lists the compound as its *cis* and *trans* stereoisomers and the other application generically claims the compound absent stereospecificity. It is obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to select either and both stereoisomer of the generic compound with the reasonable expectation that they all have similar properties.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Conclusion

7. No claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 1625

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. James Balls whose telephone number is (571) 272-7997. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:00am - 4:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom McKenzie can be reached on (571) 272-0670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

R. James Balls October 24, 2006 Celia Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625